From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
To:  THALES [rubber stamp] Intellectual Property F.A.O. de Guerin, Michel 31-33 Avenue Aristide Briand 94117 Arcueil FRANCE	PCT  NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL
*	SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 23/11/2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference	
63093	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/EP2004/051239	International filing date (day/month/year) 25/06/2004
Applicant ATMEL GRENOBLE S.A.	
Authority have been established and is transmitted herew Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19 The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the cl	:
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerla	PO,34, chemin des Colombettes and Facsimile No.: 41 22 740 14 35
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the ac	companying sheet.
2. The Applicant is hereby notified that no international sear Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the	ch report will be established and that the declaration under a International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
applicant's request to forward the texts of both	tional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: as been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4. Reminders:	
Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication	te, the international application will be published by the International tion, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the d in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of
International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a con	on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the by of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international ed. These comments would also be made available to the public but
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone	of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority ust, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed Offices.
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 mor	nths (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	ne applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's le.
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority	Authority of the

Shantisaroop Pherai

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx: 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

## **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

	plica 093	nt's or	agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	as we	see Form PCT/ISA/220 Il as, where applicable, item 5 below.
			pplication No. 051239	International filing date (day/mont/ 25/06/2004	n/year)	(Earliest) Priority date (day/month/year) 27/06/2003
	plica: MEL		NOBLE S.A.	•		
Thi	is inte	ematio e 18. <i>F</i>	nal search report has be a copy is being transmitte	en prepared by this International Sea d to the International Bureau.	arching Autho	ority and is transmitted to the applicant according
Thi	is inte	ernatio	nal search report consists	s of a total of 3 sheets.		
	Ø	It is	also accompanied by a c	opy of each prior art document cited	in this report	
1.	Ba		the report			
	a.	With	uage in which it was filed	, unless otherwise indicated under th	nis item.	he basis of the international application in the
			the international sea Authoriy (Rule 23.1	arch was carried out on the basis of (b)).	a translation	of the international application furnished to this
	b.		With regard to any nuc	leotide and/or amino acid sequen	<b>ce</b> disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.
2.			Certain claims were for	ound unsearchable (See Box II).		
3.			Unity of invention is la	acking (see Box III).		
4.	Wit	h rega	rd to the title.			
			the text is approved as	submitted by the applicant.		
			the text has been estab	lished by this Authority to read as fo	llows:	
				•		
5.	Witl	h rega	rd to the abstract,			
		$\boxtimes$	the text is approved as	submitted by the applicant.		
			the text has been estab	dished, according to Rule 38.2(b), be the date of mailing of this international	y this Author al search repo	ity as it appears in Box IV. The applicant may, ort, submit comments to this Authority.
6.	With	n regai	rd to the drawings,			
	a.	The f	igure of the drawings to	be published with the abstract is Fig	ure No. <u>6</u>	
			as suggested by the	ne applicant.		
			as selected by this	Authority, because the applicant fai	led to sugges	st a figure.
			as selected by this	Authority, because this figure better	r characterize	s the invention.
	b.		none of the figures is to	be published with the abstract.		

~	
	v.

see Form PCT/ISA/220

## PCT

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see Form PCT/ISA/210 (sheet 2)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

see Form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051239

International filing date (day/month/year) 25.06.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

27.06.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Applicant

ATMEL GRENOBLE S.A.

- This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
  - ⊠ Box No. I

Basis of the opinion

- ☑ Box No. II
- Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

- Box No. V
- Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
- citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

#### 2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires earlier.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Netherlands

Tel.: +31 70 340-2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340-3016

Authorized officer

Bequet, T

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3339



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051239

Вох	No. I	. Basis of this opinion
1.		n regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	itional comments:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051239

Вох	No.	II. P	riority				
1.	Ø	The	following document has	not yet been fu	ırnished:		
		Ø	copy of the earlier appli	cation whose p	oriority has be	en claimed (Rules	43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlie	r application wh	nose priority	nas been claimed (F	Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).
			equently it has not been rtheless been establishe				
2.		beer	opinion has been estable of found invalid (Rules 43 cated above is considered	bis.1 and 64.1	). Thus for th	een claimed due to e purposes of this c	the fact that the priority claim has opinion, the international filing date
3.	Add	litional	observations, if necessa	ry:			
Вох	No. \		easoned statement und oplicability; citations ar				inventive step or industrial
State	emen	t					
	١	Novelt	у	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-5	
	I	nvent	ive Step	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-5	
	Į.	ndust	rial Applicability	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-5	
2.	Cita	tions	and explanations				
	see	sepa	rate sheet				

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/051239

### Concerning Point V

Reasoned assessment relating to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability; citations and explanations to support this assessment

The following documents are referred to:

**D1**: US-A-4 987 477 **D2**: JP-A-60 086963

1) The best prior art is described in figure 3 of the description, which discloses a linear sensor composed of identical arrays arranged in a single direction and having redundant portions. A significant distance nevertheless exists between two successive arrays, owing to the presence of connection terminals. The fact that they are arranged in a single direction does not modify their operation in a use where an array has a multitude of photosensitive lines (TDI, multispectral).

The problem to be resolved is therefore that of reducing the problems due to the spacing of the arrays.

It is resolved by placing the connection terminals at positions where there are no redundant zones, which allows two successive arrays to be made adjacent in these redundant zones while keeping identical arrays placed in the same direction.

D1 or D2 also discloses a solution in which the redundant zones are adjacent but this involves reversal of one array in every two, which does not allow TDI operation (the reading directions are reversed while the arrays move in the same direction) and complicates the signal processing in the case of multispectral arrays. The person skilled in the art would not go on to consider using the arrays of D1 or D2 without reversal because it is not suggested and would conflict with reducing the distance between the arrays.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT) and has an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

2) Claims 2-5 depend on claim 1 and therefore in turn also satisfy the conditions required by the PCT as regards novelty and inventive step.

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051239

						00-700 (200
A.	CLASSI	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
CIB :	7 H04N	N3/15				
Acco	ording to	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both na	tional	classification and	IPC	
B.	FIELDS	SEARCHED				
		umentation searched (classification system followed	by cla	ssification symbo	ols)	
	7 H04N					
Docu	mentatio	on searched other than minimum documentation to th	e exte	ent that such docu	uments are included in the	he fields searched
		a base consulted during the international search (nar	ne of	data base and, w	here practicable, search	terms used)
<del></del>	Internal,					
	-	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				<del></del>
Categ	gory*	Citation of document, with indication, where	appro	opriate, of the rele	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A		US 4 987 477 A (IKENO MASAHIKO) 22 January 1991 (22-01-1991) Column 10, Line 57 - Column 11, Line 6				1-5
}						
Α		PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN				1-5
		vol. 0092, no. 34 (E-344), 20 September 1985 (20-09-1985)				
		& JP 60 086963 A (RICOH KK), 16 May 1985 (16-05-1985)				
		Abstract				
	1					
						ĺ
		•				
				·		
	urther do	ocuments are listed in the continuation of Box C.	☒	See patent fam	ily annex.	
		ategories of cited documents:	"T"	later document p	ublished after the internation onflict with the application b	nal filing date or priority
"A"	to be of p	t defining the general state of the art which is not considered articular relevance		principle or theor	y underlying the invention	
"E"	earlier do	cument but published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of part considered novel	icular relevance; the claime or cannot be considered to	d invention cannot be involve an inventive sten
		t which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is stablish the publication date of another citation or other		when the docume	ent is taken alone	
		ason (as specified)	"Y"	considered to inv	icular relevance; the claime olve an inventive step when	the document is
"O"	document means	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other		combined with or	ne or more other such docur a person skilled in the art	nents, such combination
		published prior to the international filing date but later than date claimed	"&"	document membe	er of the same patent family	
Date o	f the act	ual completion of the international search	Date	e of mailing of the	international search rep	port
15 Nov	ember 2	004	l	1/2004		
		ing address of the ISA	Auth	norized officer		
		Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 IV Rijkswijk		Requet T		
Te	el. (+31-7	70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl 70) 340-3016		Bequet, T		
1.6	4n. (+01°	70/ 040-3010				1

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT Information on patent family members

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051239

Patent document cited in search report         Publication date         Patent family member(s)         Publication date           US 4987477         A         22-01-1991         JP         2038361         C         28-03-19           JP         3041767         A         22-02-19           JP         7077261         B         16-08-19   JP 60086963  A 16-05-1985  NONE	blication date
JP 3041767 A 22-02-19 JP 7077261 B 16-08-19  JP 60086963 A 16-05-1985 NONE	Publication date
JP 7077261 B 16-08-19 JP 60086963 A 16-05-1985 NONE	996
JP 60086963 A 16-05-1985 NONE	91
JP 60086963 A 16-05-1985 NONE	95
	•

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under Article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule" and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

### **INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19**

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When? Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How? Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one ore more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

#### Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the internationa application is French, the letter must be in French.

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

fi

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

## The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
   "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
  - "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

#### "Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

#### It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

#### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

#### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.